Nonparametric Estimation of Change Points and Stationarity in Finance

> David S. Matteson Department of Statistical Science Cornell University

matteson@cornell.edu
www.stat.cornell.edu/~matteson

Joint work with: Nicholas A. James (ORIE), William B. Nicholson (STAT) and Louis C. Segalini (FE), Cornell University Sponsorship: National Science Foundation

2013 May 17

David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

2013 May 17 1 / 32

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のの⊙

The process of detecting distributional changes within time ordered data

Changes is mean, variance, skew, tail, correlation,...

Framework:

- Retrospective, offline analysis
  - Dynamic Programming for adaptive, online analysis
- Multivariate observations
- Estimation: number of change points and their positions
- Hierarchical algorithms
  - Divisive: Data are divided
  - Agglomerative: Data are merged

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のの⊙

## **Cluster Analysis**

Change point analysis is similar to cluster analysis

In cluster analysis we also wish to partition the observations into homogeneous subsets

Subsets may not be contiguous in time without some constraints



# **Cluster Analysis**

Change point analysis is similar to cluster analysis

In cluster analysis we also wish to partition the observations into homogeneous subsets

Subsets may not be contiguous in time without some constraints



David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

# **Cluster Analysis**

Change point analysis is similar to cluster analysis

In cluster analysis we also wish to partition the observations into homogeneous subsets

Subsets may not be contiguous in time without some constraints



#### Hierarchical Estimation: Divisive Progression





#### Hierarchical Estimation: Divisive Progression



#### Hierarchical Estimation: Divisive Progression



## Hierarchical Estimation: Agglomerative Progression



## Hierarchical Estimation: Agglomerative Progression



David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

2013 May 17 5 / 32

### Hierarchical Estimation: Agglomerative Progression



### Hierarchical Estimation: Agglomerative Progression



Given independent, time ordered observations  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

Partition into k homogeneous, temporally contiguous subsets

- k is unknown
- Size of each subset is unknown



Given independent, time ordered observations  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

Partition into k homogeneous, temporally contiguous subsets

- k is unknown
- Size of each subset is unknown



David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Given independent, time ordered observations  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

Partition into k homogeneous, temporally contiguous subsets

- k is unknown
- Size of each subset is unknown



Given independent, time ordered observations  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 

Partition into k homogeneous, temporally contiguous subsets

- k is unknown
- Size of each subset is unknown



# Cisco Systems Inc.

#### Monthly log returns from April 1990 to January 2010



#### Change points estimated at April 2000 and October 2002

David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

2013 May 17 7 / 32

# Cisco Systems Within cluster diagnostics: $\widehat{ACF}(r_{i,t})$ (top) and $\widehat{ACF}(r_{i,t}^2)$ (bottom)



David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

2013 May 17 8 / 32

## Measuring Multivariate Homogeneity

Suppose  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\mathbf{X} \sim F_x \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathbf{Y} \sim F_y$ 

Let 
$$\phi_x(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{i\langle t, \mathbf{X} \rangle})$$
 and  $\phi_y(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{i\langle t, \mathbf{Y} \rangle})$  characteristic functions

Define a divergence between  $F_x$  and  $F_y$  as

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y};w) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi_x(t) - \phi_y(t)|^2 w(t) dt,$$

w(t) denotes an arbitrary positive weight function, for which  $\mathcal{E}$  exists

David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

2013 May 17 9 / 32

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のの⊙

# Equivalent Divergence Measures

Let  ${\bm X}$  and  ${\bm Y}$  be independent, and  $({\bm X}',{\bm Y}')$  be an iid copy of  $({\bm X},{\bm Y})$ 

#### Theorem

Suppose that  $\mathbb{E}(|\mathbf{X}|^{lpha}+|\mathbf{Y}|^{lpha})<\infty$ , for some  $lpha\in(0,2]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y};\alpha) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\phi_{\mathbf{x}}(t) - \phi_{\mathbf{y}}(t)|^2 \left( \frac{2\pi^{d/2} \Gamma(1-\alpha/2)}{\alpha 2^{\alpha} \Gamma((d+\alpha)/2)} |t|^{d+\alpha} \right)^{-1} dt \\ &= 2\mathbb{E} |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}|^{\alpha} - \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}'|^{\alpha} - \mathbb{E} |\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}'|^{\alpha} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

If 0 < α < 2 then E(X, Y; α) = 0 if and only if X and Y are identically distributed</p>

• If  $\alpha = 2$  then  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}; \alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbb{E}\mathbf{X} = \mathbb{E}\mathbf{Y}$  (equal means)

David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

2013 May 17 10 / 32

E SQA

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

-

# An Empirical Measure (U-statistics)

Let  $\mathbf{X}_n = \{X_i : i = 1, ..., n\}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}_m = \{Y_j : j = 1, ..., m\}$  be independent iid samples from the distribution of  $\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , respectively, such that  $E|\mathbf{X}|^{\alpha}, E|\mathbf{Y}|^{\alpha} < \infty$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$ 

Define

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{X}_n, \mathbf{Y}_m; \alpha) = \frac{2}{mn} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |X_i - Y_j|^\alpha - \binom{n}{2} \sum_{1 \le i < k \le n}^{-1} |X_i - X_k|^\alpha - \binom{m}{2} \sum_{1 \le j < k \le m}^{-1} |Y_j - Y_k|^\alpha$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathbf{X}_n, \mathbf{Y}_m; \alpha) = \frac{mn}{m+n} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{X}_n, \mathbf{Y}_m; \alpha)$$

David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

2013 May 17 11 / 32

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ - □ - のへで

A change point location  $\hat{\tau}$  is estimated as

$$(\hat{ au},\hat{\kappa}) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{( au,\kappa)} \ \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathsf{A}_{ au},\mathsf{B}_{ au}(\kappa);lpha)$$



A change point location  $\hat{\tau}$  is estimated as

$$(\hat{ au},\hat{\kappa}) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{( au,\kappa)} \ \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathsf{A}_{ au},\mathsf{B}_{ au}(\kappa);lpha)$$



A change point location  $\hat{\tau}$  is estimated as

$$(\hat{ au},\hat{\kappa}) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{( au,\kappa)} \ \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathsf{A}_{ au},\mathsf{B}_{ au}(\kappa);lpha)$$



A change point location  $\hat{\tau}$  is estimated as

$$(\hat{ au},\hat{\kappa}) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{( au,\kappa)} \ \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathsf{A}_{ au},\mathsf{B}_{ au}(\kappa);lpha)$$



A change point location  $\hat{\tau}$  is estimated as

$$(\hat{ au},\hat{\kappa}) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{( au,\kappa)} \ \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathsf{A}_{ au},\mathsf{B}_{ au}(\kappa);lpha)$$



A change point location  $\hat{\tau}$  is estimated as

$$(\hat{ au}, \hat{\kappa}) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{( au, \kappa)} \ \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathsf{A}_{ au}, \mathsf{B}_{ au}(\kappa); lpha)$$



A change point location  $\hat{\tau}$  is estimated as

$$(\hat{ au},\hat{\kappa}) = \operatorname*{argmax}_{( au,\kappa)} \ \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathsf{A}_{ au},\mathsf{B}_{ au}(\kappa);lpha)$$



### The E-Divisive Algorithm: Inference via Permutation Test

Distribution of test statistic  $\hat{q}^* = \hat{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\tau}, \mathbf{B}_{\tau}(\kappa); \alpha) \big|_{\tau = \hat{\tau}}$  is unknown

Significance of proposed change point measured via permutation test

Randomly permute series, maximize  $\frac{mn}{n+m}\widehat{\mathcal{E}}(\mathbf{A},\mathbf{B};\alpha)$ , record and repeat:



< ロト < 同ト < ヨト < ヨト













The E-Divisive Algorithm: Multiple Change Points

If  $\hat{q}^* = \widehat{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\tau}, \mathbf{B}_{\tau}(\kappa); \alpha)|_{\tau = \hat{\tau}}$  is insignificant: STOP

If significant, condition on location, and repeat within clusters:


If  $\hat{q}^* = \widehat{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\tau}, \mathbf{B}_{\tau}(\kappa); \alpha)|_{\tau = \hat{\tau}}$  is insignificant: STOP

If significant, condition on location, and repeat within clusters:



If  $\hat{q}^* = \widehat{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\tau}, \mathbf{B}_{\tau}(\kappa); \alpha)|_{\tau = \hat{\tau}}$  is insignificant: STOP

If significant, condition on location, and repeat within clusters:



A (10) A (10)

If  $\hat{q}^* = \widehat{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\tau}, \mathbf{B}_{\tau}(\kappa); \alpha)|_{\tau = \hat{\tau}}$  is insignificant: STOP

If significant, condition on location, and repeat within clusters:



If  $\hat{q}^* = \widehat{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\tau}, \mathbf{B}_{\tau}(\kappa); \alpha)|_{\tau = \hat{\tau}}$  is insignificant: STOP

If significant, condition on location, and repeat within clusters:



If  $\hat{q}^* = \widehat{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\tau}, \mathbf{B}_{\tau}(\kappa); \alpha)|_{\tau = \hat{\tau}}$  is insignificant: STOP

If significant, condition on location, and repeat within clusters:



David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

2013 May 17 14 / 32

If  $\hat{q}^* = \widehat{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\tau}, \mathbf{B}_{\tau}(\kappa); \alpha)|_{\tau = \hat{\tau}}$  is insignificant: STOP

If significant, condition on location, and repeat within clusters:



If  $\hat{q}^* = \widehat{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\tau}, \mathbf{B}_{\tau}(\kappa); \alpha)|_{\tau = \hat{\tau}}$  is insignificant: STOP

If significant, condition on location, and repeat within clusters:



If  $\hat{q}^* = \widehat{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\tau}, \mathbf{B}_{\tau}(\kappa); \alpha)|_{\tau = \hat{\tau}}$  is insignificant: STOP

If significant, condition on location, and repeat within clusters:



If  $\hat{q}^* = \widehat{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\tau}, \mathbf{B}_{\tau}(\kappa); \alpha)|_{\tau = \hat{\tau}}$  is insignificant: STOP

If significant, condition on location, and repeat within clusters:



David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

2013 May 17 14 / 32

If  $\hat{q}^* = \widehat{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\tau}, \mathbf{B}_{\tau}(\kappa); \alpha)|_{\tau = \hat{\tau}}$  is insignificant: STOP

If significant, condition on location, and repeat within clusters:



If  $\hat{q}^* = \widehat{Q}(\mathbf{A}_{\tau}, \mathbf{B}_{\tau}(\kappa); \alpha)|_{\tau = \hat{\tau}}$  is insignificant: STOP

If significant, condition on location, and repeat within clusters:



David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

2013 May 17 14 / 32

Once again, perform permutation test

However, only permute within each cluster:



However, only permute within each cluster:



David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

However, only permute within each cluster:



David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

However, only permute within each cluster:



David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

However, only permute within each cluster:



David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

However, only permute within each cluster:



David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

However, only permute within each cluster:



David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

However, only permute within each cluster:



David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

# The 'ecp' R package (CRAN) Signature:

e.divisive(X, sig.lvl=0.05, R=199, k=NULL, min.size=30, alpha=1)
Arguments:

- **X** A  $T \times d$  matrix representation of a length T time series, with d-dimensional observations.
- sig.lvl The significance level used for the permutation test.
- **R** The maximum number of permutations to perform in the permutation test.
- k The number of change points to return. If this is NULL only the statistically significant estimated change points are returned.
- min.size The minimum number of observations btw change points.
- alpha The index for test statistic.

David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

## The 'ecp' R package (CRAN)

Returned list:

- estimates The vector containing the estimated change point locations.
- cluster.number The number of segments created by the estimated change points.
- considered.last The location of the last estimated change point that was not deemed statistically significant.
- order.found The order in which the change points were estimated.
- **Pvalues** The approximate p-values returned by the permutation test.

Complexity is  $\mathcal{O}(kT^2)$ 

David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

#### S&P 500 Index

#### The E-divisive procedure was applied to daily S&P 500 Index log returns





Change Points S&P 500 Index

#### S&P 500 Index: Very significant ARCH effect overall SP 500



### S&P 500 Index: Regime-Switching GARCH model

The E-divisive procedure was applied to daily S&P 500 Index log returns

S&P 500: May 20, 1999 - April 25, 2011



#### S&P 500 Index: Regime-switching GARCH model

Cluster 1

Cluster 2



Change Points S&P 500 Index

#### S&P 500 Index: Apply E-divisive Algorithm

S&P 500: May 20, 1999 - April 25, 2011



Change Points S&P 500 Index

#### S&P 500 Index: No ARCH effect within clusters!



S&P 500 Index: Gaussian distribution within clusters?



# Multivariate Change in Correlation

1,000 simulations, 2 CP:  $N_d(0, \Sigma), N_d(0, I), N_d(0, \Sigma)$ 

	/1	$\rho$	$\rho$	• • •	$\rho$
	ρ	1	ρ	• • •	ρ
$\Sigma_{w.o./noise} =$	ρ	$\rho$	1	•••	ρ
	11	÷	÷	$\mathcal{T}_{i_1}$	÷
	$\backslash \rho$	ρ	ρ		1/

$$\Sigma_{w/noise} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \rho & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

E-Div	isive	Average Rai	Average Rand Index			
Т	d	Without Noise	With Noise			
300	2	0.767	0.774			
	5	0.912	0.736			
	9	0.970	0.736			
600	2	0.817	0.836			
	5	0.993	0.631			
	9	0.998	0.666			
900	2	0.970	0.968			
	5	0.998	0.644			
	9	0.999	0.612			

David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

#### Local Stationarity

A *d*-dimensional process  $\{Y_t\}_{t=1}^T$  is strictly stationary if

$$F_{y_1,...,y_k}(Y_1,...,Y_k) = F_{y_{1+\tau},...,y_{k+\tau}}(Y_{1+\tau},...,Y_{k+\tau})$$

 $\forall k, \tau \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ in which } F \text{ denotes a joint distribution function}$ 

David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

2013 May 17 26 / 32

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

#### Local Stationarity

A d-dimensional process  $\{Y_t\}_{t=1}^T$  is strictly stationary if

$$F_{y_1,...,y_k}(Y_1,...,Y_k) = F_{y_{1+\tau},...,y_{k+\tau}}(Y_{1+\tau},...,Y_{k+\tau})$$

 $\forall k, \tau \in \mathbb{N}$ , in which F denotes a joint distribution function

An equivalent condition is that  $\forall k, \tau \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\phi_{y_1,...,y_k}(s) = \phi_{y_{1+\tau},...,y_{k+\tau}}(s), \, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$$

in which  $\phi$  denotes a joint characteristic function

David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

2013 May 17 26 / 32

#### Local Stationarity

A *d*-dimensional process  $\{Y_t\}_{t=1}^T$  is strictly stationary if

$$F_{y_1,\ldots,y_k}(Y_1,\ldots,Y_k) = F_{y_{1+\tau},\ldots,y_{k+\tau}}(Y_{1+\tau},\ldots,Y_{k+\tau})$$

 $\forall k, \tau \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ in which } F \text{ denotes a joint distribution function}$ 

An equivalent condition is that  $\forall k, \tau \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\phi_{y_1,...,y_k}(s) = \phi_{y_{1+\tau},...,y_{k+\tau}}(s), \, \forall s \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times k}$$

in which  $\phi$  denotes a joint characteristic function

Piecewise stationary:

 $\forall t, \exists w_t \ge 0$ , such that all observations in  $[t - w_t, t]$  are stationarity process  $\underline{w_t}$  defines a one-sided window of homogeneity at  $\underline{t}$ David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu) Change Points and Stationarity 2013 May 17 26 / 32

#### Cointegration

Bivariate process  $X_t = (x_{1,t}, x_{2,t})'$  is cointegrated if

1. Each component is l(1) (unit root nonstationary)

2.  $\exists \beta \neq 0$  such that  $x_{1,t} - \beta x_{2,t}$  is I(0) (unit root stationary)

David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

#### Cointegration

Bivariate process  $X_t = (x_{1,t}, x_{2,t})'$  is cointegrated if

- 1. Each component is I(1) (unit root nonstationary)
- 2.  $\exists \beta \neq 0$  such that  $x_{1,t} \beta x_{2,t}$  is I(0) (unit root stationary)

Error Correction Model (ECM):

For bivariate I(1) process  $X_t$ , with cointegrating vector  $\beta = (1, -\beta)'$  one-lag ECM

$$\Delta X_t = \mu + lpha eta' X_{t-1} + \Phi \Delta X_{t-1} + arepsilon_t$$

 $\Delta X_t = X_t - X_{t-1}$ ;  $\varepsilon_t \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} (\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ ; and  $\mu, \alpha, \Phi, \Sigma$  are constant matrices

David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のの⊙

#### Local Cointegration

A bivariate process  $X_t$  is locally cointegrated with respect to a window of homogeneity  $w_t$  if  $\forall t$ 

1.  $X_t$  is I(1), within the interval  $[t - w_t, t]$  (unit root nonstationary)

2.  $\exists \beta_t \neq 0$  such that  $u_t = x_{1,t} - \beta_t x_{2,t}$  is I(0) (unit root stationary)

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

#### Local Cointegration

A bivariate process  $X_t$  is locally cointegrated with respect to a window of homogeneity  $w_t$  if  $\forall t$ 

1.  $X_t$  is I(1), within the interval  $[t - w_t, t]$  (unit root nonstationary)

2.  $\exists \beta_t \neq 0$  such that  $u_t = x_{1,t} - \beta_t x_{2,t}$  is I(0) (unit root stationary)

At time t, find largest  $\delta$  such that  $(Z_t, u_t)'$  is stationary over  $[t - \delta + 1, t]$ 

 $\blacktriangleright Z_t = \Delta X_t$ 

 $\blacktriangleright u_t = x_{1t} - \beta x_{2t}$ 

•  $\beta$  estimated via OLS over  $[t - \delta + 1, t]$ 

David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

2013 May 17 28 / 32

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで
- (a) Pepsi (PEP) and Coca-Cola (KO) adjusted daily closing stock prices January 2007 through November 2012
- (b)  $[t w_t, t]$ , estimated window of local stationarity at times t



 (abc) Adjusted daily closing prices from 1/2007 through 11/2012 of Walmart (WMT) & Target (TGT), Hewlett Packard (HPQ) & Dell (DELL), and Exxon Mobil (XOM) & Chevron (CVX)



David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

2013 May 17 30 / 32

Local Stationarity Pairs Trading

Pairs Trading: Trading the Spread  $\hat{u}_t = x_{1,t} - \hat{\beta}_t(\hat{w}_t)x_{2,t}$ ENTER at  $|2\hat{\sigma}_t|$ EXIT at  $|\frac{1}{2}\hat{\sigma}_t|$  (gain),  $|3\hat{\sigma}_t|$  (loss), or  $T = t + \delta_{min}$  (time limit)

Mean Return (per trade)						
Window/Pair	KO-PEP	HPQ-DELL	WMT-TGT	XOM-CVX		
Fixed	-7.0%	2.5%	-6.6%	0.9%		
Cumulative	12.0%	-0.9%	-0.1%	-1.8%		
Adaptive	21.0%	4.4%	1.1%	43.0%		

Table: Mean return (per trade) for the three window methods on each pair

Wear Trade Duration (days)						
Window/Pair	KO-PEP	HPQ-DELL	WMT-TGT	XOM-CVX		
Fixed	7.8	9.7	9.7	8.5		
Cumulative	16.9	23.0	13.4	17.8		
Adaptive	7.8	8.2	8.3	10.6		

## Mean Trade Duration (days)

Table: Mean trade duration (days) for the three window methods on each pair

▲ロト ▲母 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ● 日 ● ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Bibliography

## Bibliography

http://www.stat.cornell.edu/~matteson/

- Matteson, D.S., and James, N.A. (2012+), "A Nonparametric Approach for Multiple Change Point Analysis of Multivariate Data."
- Matteson, D.S., James, N.A., Nicholson, W.B. and Segalini, L.C. (2012+), "Locally Stationary Vector Processes and Adaptive Multivariate Modeling."
- James, N.A. and Matteson, D.S. (2013), "ecp: An R Package for Nonparametric Multiple Change Point Analysis of Multivariate Data."
- James, N.A. and Matteson, D.S. (2013) http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/ecp/

David S. Matteson (matteson@cornell.edu)

Change Points and Stationarity

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ ののの